



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,149	02/27/2002	Kenneth W. Winters	10008008-1 2610	
7590 12/15/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TORRES, MARCOS L	
Intellectual Property Administration				
P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2687	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/087,149	WINTERS, KENNETH W.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marcos L. Torres	2687	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 17-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-46 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine 17 is/are is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable	rn from consideration. relection requirement.	Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12-2-05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2687

5. Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLine US 6,420,975 B1 in view of Jones US 6,363,254 B1.

As to claim 17, DeLine discloses the method of operating an information system, comprising: providing an information system in a vehicle (digital sound processing system); inputting data into the information system (see col. 1, lines 20-27; col. 2, line 47 – col. 3, line 12). DeLine does not specifically disclose transmitting a message from the information system to a given vehicle destination, wherein the message is: indicative of progress of the vehicle; and based on the data. In an analogous art, Jones discloses transmitting a message from the information system to a given vehicle destination, wherein the message is: indicative of progress of the vehicle; and based on the data (see col. 1, lines 35-42), thereby informing the vehicle status at the destination.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine these teachings to indicate the vehicle expected arrival (see col. 1, lines 39-40).

As to claims 18 and 20, DeLine discloses the method further comprising: providing a mobile telephone (peripheral device), inputting a vocal command into the information system, automatically dialing the mobile telephone in response to inputting the vocal command (see col. 37, line 19 – col. 38, line 23).

As to claim 19, DeLine discloses the method further comprising: providing a mobile data processing/storage device (see fig. 16, item 1060), storing data on the mobile data processing/storage device (see col. 9, line 56 – col. 10, line 9); inputting a vocal command into the information system, presenting data from the mobile data

Art Unit: 2687

3 % 1 % 1 may

processing/storage device in response to the vocal command (see col. 9, lines 34-55; col. 10, line 54 –col. 11, line 27).

As to claim 21, DeLine discloses the method further comprising presenting address information (see col. 3, lines 35-48).

As to claim 22, DeLine discloses the method wherein the data is indicative of the weather (see col. 41, lines 6-19).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

571-273-8300

for formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-6:00 PM alt. Wednesday Off.

Art Unit: 2687

.......

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-252-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2687

mlt

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5